

# Contents

## SUMMARY

## ISSUES AND OBJECTIVES TRACKING MATRIX

## TERMS

## ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

<b>1.0 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
1.1.1 Study Area.....	1-2
1.1.2 Study History Prior to the ACTS.....	1-2
1.1.3 ACTS DEIS .....	1-2
1.1.4 Post-DEIS Study .....	1-3
1.1.5 DEIS Re-Evaluation .....	1-4
1.1.6 SDEIS.....	1-4
1.1.7 SDEIS Re-evaluation .....	1-5
1.1.8 Post SDEIS .....	1-6
1.2 PURPOSE AND NEED .....	1-6
1.3 SCOPE OF THIS FEIS .....	1-7
1.3.1 Study Phasing .....	1-7
1.3.2 Comments on the SDEIS .....	1-9
1.3.3 Relevant Issues for the FEIS.....	1-10
1.4 FEDERAL AND STATE DECISIONS AND ACTIONS.....	1-10
1.5 REGULATORY COORDINATION.....	1-11
1.6 APPLICABLE REGULATIONS, PERMITS, PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATES, AND REQUIRED COORDINATION .....	1-11
1.6.1 Applicable Regulations.....	1-12
1.6.2 Required Permits.....	1-13
1.6.3 Preliminary Cost Estimates .....	1-14
1.6.4 Coordination.....	1-14
1.7 OVERVIEW OF FEIS .....	1-15
<b>2.0 TIER 1 ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS AND DESCRIPTION OF CORRIDORS AND SEGMENTS.....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	2-1
2.2 THE DEIS CORRIDORS.....	2-3
2.2.1 Composite Corridor 1 – Houlton to Fort Kent and Van Buren.....	2-4
2.2.2 Composite Corridor 2 – Smyrna Mills to Fort Kent and Van Buren.....	2-5
2.2.3 Corridor Hm – Smyrna Mills to Madawaska .....	2-6

2.2.4	Corridor Km – Houlton to Madawaska .....	2-6
2.3	POST-DEIS EVALUATIONS WHICH LED TO CHANGES IN THE DEIS CORRIDORS .....	2-7
2.3.1	Border Crossing Analysis and Modification of Northern Terminus .....	2-8
2.3.2	Route 1 Corridor Management Plan .....	2-9
2.3.3	Route 161 Upgrade Reduced from Four Lanes to Two Lanes .....	2-9
2.4	DESCRIPTION OF SDEIS CORRIDORS .....	2-9
2.4.1	No-Action Alternative .....	2-10
2.4.2	Composite Corridor C1 Modified (C1m) .....	2-12
2.4.3	Composite Corridor C2 Modified (C2m) .....	2-12
2.4.4	Corridor Hm .....	2-13
2.4.5	Corridor C3 .....	2-14
2.5	FEIS CORRIDOR SEGMENTS .....	2-15
2.5.1	Segment Descriptions .....	2-15
2.5.2	Comparison of FEIS Corridors .....	2-26
2.6	SUMMARY .....	2-30
2.6.1	Summary of Tier 1 FEIS .....	2-30
2.6.2	Summary of SDEIS Comment and Responses .....	2-31
<b>3.0</b>	<b>TIER 2 PROPOSED ACTION .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	INTRODUCTION .....	3-1
3.1.1	Purpose and Need .....	3-1
3.1.2	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Basic Project Purpose .....	3-2
3.1.3	Caribou Area Road and Highway Characteristics .....	3-2
3.1.4	Segment 4 Study Area .....	3-3
3.2	ALTERNATIVES EVALUATED .....	3-3
3.2.1	No-Action Alternative .....	3-4
3.2.2	Transportation System Management (TSM) .....	3-5
3.2.3	Alignment Option 1 .....	3-5
3.2.4	Alignment Option 2 .....	3-6
3.2.5	Alignment Option 3 .....	3-6
3.2.6	Alignment Option 4A .....	3-7
3.2.7	Alignment Option 4B .....	3-8
3.2.8	Alignment Option 4C .....	3-8
3.3	IDENTIFICATION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE .....	3-11
3.3.1	Transportation Benefit Analysis .....	3-11
3.3.2	Environmental Impact Summary .....	3-13
3.3.3	Preferred Alternative .....	3-15
<b>4.0</b>	<b>AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	INTRODUCTION .....	4-1
4.2	TRANSPORTATION ENVIRONMENT .....	4-3
4.2.1	The Transportation System .....	4-3
4.2.2	Existing Traffic Conditions .....	4-4
4.2.3	Geometric and Safety Deficiencies .....	4-5
4.2.4	System Continuity and Mobility .....	4-5
4.3	LAND USE, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, AND CULTURAL ENVIRONMENT .....	4-6

4.3.1	Land Use .....	4-6
4.3.2	Agricultural Land .....	4-8
4.3.3	Social and Economic Environment.....	4-11
4.3.4	Minority and Low Income Populations.....	4-22
4.3.5	Uncontrolled Petroleum and Hazardous Materials.....	4-26
4.3.6	Cultural Resources.....	4-31
4.3.7	Public Parks and Recreation Land.....	4-36
4.4	PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT .....	4-38
4.4.1	Forests .....	4-39
4.4.2	Aquatic Resources and Wetlands .....	4-39
4.4.3	Wildlife Habitat, Significant Wildlife Habitat, and Essential Fish Habitat .....	4-46
4.4.4	Endangered, Threatened, and Species of Special Concern .....	4-54
4.5	ATMOSPHERIC ENVIRONMENT.....	4-55
4.5.1	Air Quality.....	4-56
4.5.2	Noise Environment .....	4-60

**5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES AND MITIGATION ..... 5-1**

5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	5-1
5.2	TRANSPORTATION ENVIRONMENT .....	5-2
5.2.1	Evaluation Criteria .....	5-3
5.2.2	Projected Transportation Impacts .....	5-4
5.3	LAND USE, ECONOMIC, SOCIAL, AND CULTURAL ENVIRONMENT.....	5-8
5.3.1	Land Use.....	5-9
5.3.2	Farmland and Farmland Soils .....	5-12
5.3.3	Social and Economic Impacts .....	5-14
5.3.4	Impacts on Minority and Low Income Populations .....	5-20
5.3.5	Uncontrolled Petroleum and Hazardous Materials.....	5-21
5.3.6	Cultural Environment.....	5-22
5.3.7	Public Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife Refuges.....	5-25
5.3.8	Section 4(f) Properties.....	5-25
5.4	PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT .....	5-28
5.4.1	Forests .....	5-29
5.4.2	Aquatic Resources and Wetlands .....	5-30
5.4.3	Wildlife Habitat, Significant Wildlife Habitat, and Essential Fish Habitat .....	5-52
5.4.4	Endangered, Threatened, and Species of Special Concern .....	5-55
5.5	ATMOSPHERIC ENVIRONMENT.....	5-56
5.5.1	Air Quality.....	5-56
5.5.2	Noise Environment .....	5-62
5.6	CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS AND MITIGATION .....	5-67
5.6.1	Water Quality and Wetlands.....	5-67
5.6.2	Wildlife.....	5-68
5.6.3	Air Quality.....	5-68
5.6.4	Noise Impacts.....	5-68
5.6.5	Traffic Management and Control.....	5-69
5.6.6	Utilities.....	5-69
5.7	SECONDARY AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....	5-70

5.7.1	Secondary Impacts.....	5-71
5.7.2	Cumulative Impacts.....	5-73
5.7.3	Summary of Cumulative Impacts .....	5-85
5.8	SUMMARY OF STUDY COMMITMENTS.....	5-86
5.8.1	Land Use.....	5-87
5.8.2	Farmlands .....	5-87
5.8.3	Cultural Resources (Historical and Archaeological) .....	5-87
5.8.4	Public Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife Refuges.....	5-88
5.8.5	Surface and Groundwater Quality .....	5-88
5.8.6	Aquatic Habitats .....	5-88
5.8.7	Wetlands .....	5-89
5.8.8	Wildlife and Fisheries .....	5-90
5.8.9	Air Quality.....	5-91
5.8.10	Noise .....	5-91
5.8.11	Utilities.....	5-91
5.9	SUMMARY OF IMPACTS.....	5-92
<b>6.0</b>	<b>RESPONSES TO COMMENTS .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	6-1
6.2	PURPOSE AND NEED .....	6-1
6.3	ALTERNATIVES.....	6-2
6.4	AQUATIC RESOURCES .....	6-3
6.5	SOCIO-ECONOMIC ISSUES .....	6-4
6.6	UTILITIES.....	6-5
6.7	OTHER ISSUES.....	6-6
<b>7.0</b>	<b>COORDINATION AND CONSULTATION .....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1	SDEIS PUBLIC HEARINGS .....	7-1
7.2	FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL AGENCY CONSULTATION .....	7-2
7.3	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT .....	7-3
7.4	COORDINATION WITH LOCAL COMMUNITIES AND ORGANIZATIONS .....	7-3
7.5	STUDY WEB SITE.....	7-4
<b>8.0</b>	<b>PREPARERS .....</b>	<b>8-1</b>
8.1	FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION .....	8-1
8.2	MAINE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION .....	8-1
8.3	VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC.....	8-4
8.4	RKG ASSOCIATES .....	8-5
8.5	BARTON AND GINGOLD.....	8-6
<b>9.0</b>	<b>CIRCULATION LIST .....</b>	<b>9-1</b>
9.1	FEDERAL AGENCIES .....	9-1
9.2	STATE AGENCIES.....	9-1
9.3	ELECTED OFFICIALS.....	9-2
9.4	SOVEREIGN NATIONS .....	9-2
9.5	REGIONAL AGENCIES .....	9-2
9.6	LOCAL COMMUNITIES .....	9-3
9.7	PAC MEMBERS.....	9-4
9.8	OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES .....	9-4
9.9	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC.....	9-5

**10.0 REFERENCES..... 10-1**

**11.0 INDEX..... 11-1**

**APPENDIX A – AGENCY CORRESPONDENCE**

**APPENDIX B –SDEIS COMMENT LETTERS AND PUBLIC HEARING TRANSCRIPTS\***

**APPENDIX C – ACTS SDEIS RE-EVALUATION\***

**VOLUME 2–FIGURES**

\*Appendices B and C can be found on the CD inside the back cover of Volume 1 of this FEIS. Parties interested in obtaining a hard copy of these appendices can contact MaineDOT’s Project Consultant, VHB, at (617) 924-1770.

**This Page Intentionally Left Blank**

# Tables

Table #	Title	Page
S-1	Comparison of FEIS Corridor Major Impacts.....	S-7
S-2	Description of FEIS Corridor Segments.....	S-8
S-3	Key Environmental Impacts – Segment 4.....	S-12
S-4	Required Permits and Approvals.....	S-17
S-5	Preliminary Cost Estimate for Segment 4 Alternatives and Alignment Options....	S-18
1-1	Required Permits and Approvals.....	1-13
1-2	Preliminary Cost Estimate for Segment 4 Alternatives and Alignment Options....	1-14
2-1	No-Action Alternative: Six-Year Plan Projects within FEIS Corridors.....	2-11
2-2	Description of FEIS Corridor Segments.....	2-17
2-3	FEIS Corridor Segments.....	2-18
2-4	Potential Impacts to Land Use and Structures by Corridor.....	2-28
2-5	Potential Impacts to Agricultural Lands.....	2-28
2-6	Potential Impacts to Cultural Resources.....	2-29
2-7	Potential Impacts to Aquatic Resources and Wetlands.....	2-29
2-8	Potential Impacts to Significant Wildlife Habitat (SWH), or Species Habitat of Endangered, Threatened, and Other Protected Species.....	2-30
3-1	Summary of Transportation Benefits vs. No-Action Alternative (2030).....	3-9
3-2	Comparison of Key Environmental Impacts.....	3-10
4-1	AADT Summary.....	4-4
4-2	Prime Farmland Soils and Farmland of Statewide Importance Within the Study Area.....	4-10
4-3	Population Trends and Projections: 1990-2013.....	4-12
4-4	Population Change by Age: 1990-2008.....	4-12
4-5	Labor Force, Employment, and Unemployment Rate Trends.....	4-13
4-6	Business Establishment Trends: 2000-2007.....	4-14
4-7	Median Household Income Trends: 2000-2008.....	4-15
4-8	Housing Unit Trends: 1990-2008.....	4-16
4-9	Total Municipal Expenditures: 2007.....	4-16
4-10	Total Municipal Revenues: 2007.....	4-17
4-11	Municipal Property Tax Base: 2008.....	4-17
4-12	Top Ten Major Employers- Aroostook County: 2008.....	4-18
4-13	Available Land in Industrial Parks in 2008: Aroostook County.....	4-19
4-14	Household Income Distribution, Caribou – 2008.....	4-23
4-15	Aroostook County Racial Composition Trends: 1990-2000.....	4-24

Table #	Title	Page
4-16	Participation in TANF <sup>1</sup> and Food Stamp Programs .....	4-25
4-17	Federally Assisted Housing Units and Section 8 Vouchers in Caribou and Aroostook County: 2000.....	4-26
4-18	Known Spills within One-Half Mile of Segment 4 .....	4-30
4-19	Properties Eligible for Inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places .....	4-35
4-20	Public Recreational Facilities in the Segment 4 Study Area .....	4-38
4-21	Watersheds Designated as “At-Risk” by Maine Stormwater Management Law and Non-Point Source Pollution Priorities in Proximity to the FEIS Corridors .....	4-41
4-22	National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	4-58
4-23	Typical Sound Levels .....	4-62
5-1	Summary of Projected Segment 4 Demands Vs. No-Action Alternative (2030) .....	5-5
5-2	Summary of Transportation Benefits vs. No-Action Alternative (2030).....	5-5
5-3	Impacts to Land (Acres) .....	5-10
5-4	Impacts to Structures (Buildings) .....	5-10
5-5	Impacts to Farmland and Agricultural Soils (Acres).....	5-13
5-6	Total Estimated Land and Structure Acquisition Values .....	5-16
5-7	Forest Impacts by Forest Type (Acres).....	5-29
5-8	Wetland Impacts by Wetland Type (Acres).....	5-35
5-9	Functions and Values of Affected Wetlands .....	5-36
5-10	Wetland Impacts by Wetland .....	5-37
5-11	Wetland Impact Minimization.....	5-38
5-12	USACE Recommended Compensatory Mitigation – Alignment Option B .....	5-39
5-13	Potential Wetland Mitigation Sites .....	5-42
5-14	Carbon Monoxide (CO) Microscale Results (2030) .....	5-57
5-15	Particulate Matter (PM10) Microscale Results.....	5-58
5-16	Mesoscale Analysis Results, Segment 7 .....	5-59
5-17	Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) One-Hour, A-Weighted Sound Levels In Decibels (dBA) .....	5-64
5-18	Noise Receptor Impacts .....	5-65
5-19	Noise Receptor Mitigation Analysis.....	5-67
5-20	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Emissions.....	5-70
5-21	Past and Foreseeable Future Actions and Trends.....	5-77
5-22	Cumulative Impacts to Land (Acres) .....	5-79
5-23	Cumulative Impacts to Perennial Streams (Number of New Crossings) .....	5-83
5-24	Cumulative Wetland Impacts (Acres).....	5-84
5-25	Summary of Cumulative Impacts.....	5-86
5-26	Summary of Key Environmental Impacts.....	5-93
7-1	SDEIS Public Hearing Commentors.....	7-1

# Figures

Figure #	Title
S-1	ACTS Study Area
S-2	DEIS Corridors
S-3	SDEIS Corridors
S-4	FEIS Corridors and Segments
S-5	Segment 4 Alignment Options
1-1	ACTS Study Area
1-2	DEIS Corridors
2-1	Corridor Screening Process
2-2	FEIS Corridors
2-3	Proposed Cross Sections
2-4	FEIS Corridors and Segments
2-5	Schematic of Widening Alternatives
2-6	Segment 4 Alignment Options
2-7a – 2-7g	Detailed View of Segment 4 Alignment Options
2-8	SDEIS Presque Isle Bypass Alternatives
2-9a – 2-9b	Segment 7 Alignment Options
3-1	Caribou Roadway Network
3-2	FEIS Alignment Options
3-3	Alignment Option 4A
3-4	Alignment Option 4B
3-5	Alignment Option 4C
3-6*	Segment 4 - Ranking of Transportation Benefits
4-1	Roadway Geometric Deficiencies and High Crash Locations
4-2	Key Social and Cultural Constraints
4-3	Forested Land
4-4	Farmland
4-5	Tribal Land in Caribou
4-6	Snowmobile Trails
4-7	Registered Underground Storage Tanks and Known Spills
4-8	Known Prehistoric and Historic Archaeological Sites
4-9	Archaeologically Sensitive Areas and Historic Resources
4-10	Wetland Resources
4-11	Wetlands and Water Resources
4-12	Fisheries, Wildlife and Rare Species
4-13	Canada Lynx Critical Habitat
4-14	Atlantic Salmon DPS and Critical Habitat

5-1	Segment 4 Traffic Demand: No-Action Vs. Alignment Options (2030)
5-2	Impacts to Key Social and Cultural Constraints
5-3	Impacts to Forested Land
5-4	Impacts to Farmland
5-5	Impacts to Structures
5-6	Impacts to Registered Underground Storage Tanks and Known Spills
5-7	Segment 4 Section 4(f) Resources
5-8	Banked Dairy Barn
5-9	Colonial Revival House & New England-Style Barn
5-10	Impacts to Known Prehistoric and Historic Archaeological Sites
5-11	Impacts to Archaeologically Sensitive Areas
5-12	Impacts to Wetlands and Water Resources
5-13	Wetland Mitigation Areas
5-14	Impacts to Fisheries, Wildlife and Rare Species
5-15*	Mobile Source Air Toxics Emissions

\*Figures found in text. All other figures can be found in Volume 2 of this FEIS.